



FACSIMILE

DATE: August 03, 2004
OFFICIAL PAPER

PHILADELPHIA
One Liberty Place, 46th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-568-3100
Fax: 215-568-3439
SEATTLE
999 Third Avenue, Suite 1606
Seattle, WA 98104
206-332-1380
Fax: 206-624-7317

JOB CODE: 02692

Please deliver this and the following pages to:

Examiner: **Fred Ehichioya**
U.S.P.T.O. Group Art Unit: **2172**
Telecopier No.: **(703) 746-9349**
U.S. Serial No.: **09/783,787**
Client/Matter No.: **MSFT-0219**
Sender's Name: **Peter M. Ullman**
Pages to Follow: **1**

If transmission is not complete, please call our Philadelphia Office at (215) 568-3100.

COVER MESSAGE:

OFFICIAL FACSIMILE. PLEASE DELIVER TO EXAMINER IMMEDIATELY.

Attached hereto is/are the following documents:

- 1) Proposed agenda for interview

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERY OF THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP
A Partnership Including Professional Corporations
www.woodcock.com

Proposed agenda for interview in 09/783,787

Applicants wish to discuss the following features:

1. The number of media in the writeable state exceeding a concurrency value (claim 1).
2. Determinations regarding media located outside of a device (claims 4 and 12).
3. Determinations as to whether media are in use for reading/writing of data, and "waiting" for a medium to become available (claim 12)
4. Media with a side that is not designated as being in either the writeable or non-writeable state (claims 20, 25).
5. Waiting for a medium to become available (claim 26; [cf. claim 12, above])
6. Selecting a medium based on which media are in the library (claim 39)
7. Additionally, the rejection of claim 32 (which was originally an anticipation rejection over Blickenstaff) appears to have been converted from a 102 rejection to a 103 rejection. This is apparently a tacit acknowledgement that Blickenstaff does not anticipate claim 32. However, the Office Action does not appear to add anything to the original rejection, and does not explain, under the relevant standards of obviousness, how the examiner proposes to modify Blickenstaff to meet the features of claim 32.